



RICE UNIVERSITY
**Shell Center for
Sustainability**



SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT OF HOUSTON DISTRICTS:

The Health of the City

FINDINGS

LESTER KING, PHD.



RICE







Sustainable Development of Houston Districts: The Health of the City






Conclusion





Council districts are the primary level of governance below city-wide administration in Houston. Every two years citizens go to the polls to elect representatives for their public interests. In 2011, the city increased the number of council districts from 9 to 11 as part of a Department of Justice agreement forged in 1979 (COH, 2011). This major act of defining new spatial boundaries for the efficient representation of citizens' interests was mainly for the purpose of ensuring the protection of minority voting rights, under Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act. The only non-demographic considerations were that the areas identified would be contiguous; county voting precincts should not be shared across districts; and attempts would be made to preserve the boundaries of incumbent representatives. It should be noted that the council districts in Houston are primarily representative of demographic and political contiguity. The structure and findings for this report go far past consideration of solely demographics and politics and takes a truly comprehensive approach of addressing social, economic and environmental considerations as outlined by sustainable development.





This report shows the performance of the health of the City of Houston according to the lens of sustainable development. It shows that although the Voting Rights Act was instrumental to ensure equal representation of minorities in the governance of cities like Houston, that there is still a tremendous amount of disparity between areas. This disparity is clear between areas that are primarily composed of the African-American cohort compared to areas that are primarily composed of the White cohort. It is less clear between areas that are primarily composed of the Hispanic cohort compared to areas predominately occupied by other cohorts. One reason is that the Hispanic cohort constitutes 44% of the population so although there is some concentration; this demographic is well distributed across the entire landscape of Houston. The report also shows that issues in Houston from a sustainable development perspective are not explained by racial disparity alone. Many middle and upper middle class areas are adversely affected in some of the measures in this health report on the state of development in Houston.


The following table summarizes some of the findings contained in this report. It lists the indicators, city level performance, and district level performance for comparative purposes. The indicators are accompanied by a green, amber or red icon, symbolizing good progress towards sustainability, moderate progress towards sustainability, or major intervention needed respectively. These ratings were developed, for the purpose of peer review, by a team of approximately 27 experts and development practitioners over the course of three workshops and three surveys in 2012. We hope the report will be used by citizens, city staff, and local decision makers to better understand the sustainable development of Houston.





Summary Findings		
Indicator	City Performance	District Performance
 <p>1. Population Growth</p>	<p>Population in Houston is currently growing at an average annual rate of approximately 1.42%.</p>	<p>From 1990 – 2010, District E had the highest growth rate of 3.3%, while District I had the lowest growth rate of 0.22%. District I includes downtown Houston, Lawndale and Parkplace. District E includes Clearlake.</p>
 <p>2. Education Attainment</p>	<p>There exists an attainment gap between the White student cohort and other student groups. In general all graduation rates have improved. The HISD district graduation rate was 74.3% in 2010.</p>	<p>The district level performance review covered all schools within the boundary of Houston. The graduation rates in District E were the highest in the city. District J graduation rates were the lowest. District E also has a higher median household income than District J with \$66,924 compared to \$29,286. District J also has the highest percentage of persons in poverty in the city with about 1 in every 3 persons (32%).</p>
 <p>3. Voter Participation</p>	<p>Only 7% of the population voted in the local election of 2011.</p>	<p>Districts C and G had the highest percentage of voters. These districts contain the affluent neighborhoods of River Oaks, Memorial, Meyerland and University Place. Districts J and F had the lowest voter participation and contain the less affluent neighborhoods of Sharpstown and Alief. The results of this indicator, gives evidence to the impact of income on citizen participation.</p>
 <p>4. Indicator – Income Inequality</p>	<p>Income inequality must be addressed in Houston since the median top 20% earned \$140,000; median earnings were \$43,000; and the bottom 20% earned a median income of \$10,000.</p>	<p>Districts D and C had the highest levels of income inequality in 2010. These districts contain the neighborhoods of Meyerland, Central Northwest, Sunnyside and Museum Park. The districts with the lowest level of income inequality are Districts I and J, which contain the neighborhoods of Sharpstown and Magnolia Park.</p>

 <p>5. Poverty Rate</p>	<p>The percentage of persons below poverty was 23% (474,346) in 2010. This metric is increasing, which is not a sustainable trend.</p>	<p>District G has the lowest percentage of persons in poverty with 7.1%, while District J has the highest percentage of persons with 31.8%. District J contains the neighborhoods of Sharpstown, Westwood and Gulfton.</p>
 <p>6. Health Coverage</p>	<p>30% of persons had no health insurance in Houston in 2010. Houston has the largest medical center in the world, and boasts many jobs in this sector. However, access to health insurance in Houston is a problem.</p>	<p>A look at the distribution of health centers across the city by district shows that Districts G and E have the highest numbers of persons assigned to centers there with over 40,000 persons per center in those districts. The districts that are more centrally located (districts D, B, H and I) have better proximity to health centers with under 8,000 persons assigned to each center.</p>
 <p>7. Affordability</p>	<p>30% of Houstonians spent more than 30% of their income on housing in 2010.</p>	<p>District F has the highest percent of housing units costing more than 30% of incomes. While District E has the lowest percentage of housing units in this indicator. District F contains the neighborhoods of Westchase and Alief and District E contains the communities of Clearlake and Edgebrook. Median income in District E is \$66, 924 and in District F is \$39,766. This difference may explain why a higher percentage of households in District F are finding housing costs more unaffordable.</p>
 <p>8. Accessibility of Public Spaces</p>	<p>44% of the population lives within a quarter mile of a public park. This number needs to increase to ensure accessibility to quality of life in Houston.</p>	<p>Districts I and C have the highest percentage of population with good access to parks. District F has the lowest access to parks.</p>
 <p>9. Food Deserts</p>	<p>36% of the population lives within a Food Desert. That is, they live more than 1 mile from a grocery store or supermarket that sells fresh fruit and vegetables.</p>	<p>District B has the highest percentage of persons in the Food Desert, while Districts C and G have the lowest percentage of persons in the Food Desert. District B contains the less affluent neighborhoods of Kashmere Gardens and Greater Fifth Ward; while Districts C and G contain the affluent neighborhoods of the Heights, University Place and River Oaks.</p>

 <p>10. Employment Status</p>	<p>The unemployment rate for Houston was 10% in 2010. For the white cohort it was 6.2% and for African Americans it was 16.5%. This means disproportionate hiring or employment stability occurs in Houston.</p>	<p>District B has the highest unemployment rate in the city with 12.6%, while District G has the lowest with 4.6%. Although District J has the highest percentage of persons in poverty, the unemployment rate in this district is under the city average (9.6%). This suggests that incomes in District J may be relatively low compared to the cost of living in Houston.</p>
 <p>11. Primary Jobs and Green Jobs</p>	<p>Medical jobs in Houston are increasing while industrial jobs are decreasing as an absolute percentage of all jobs. Together, industrial and manufacturing jobs make up 23% of all jobs and are considered primary jobs for Houston. Less than 7% of all jobs in Houston are green jobs.</p>	<p>The Texas Medical Center is located in District D, so that district has the highest number of medical jobs. District A leads all other areas with manufacturing jobs representing 11% of all jobs. District F has under 2,000 manufacturing jobs equal to just 2% of all jobs in that district. District A contains the Spring Branch area and District F contains the Alief area.</p>
 <p>12. Income</p>	<p>Since per capita income in 2010 (\$44,001), was slightly below 2007 levels (\$44,872), it is estimated that the 2008 economic recession set us back approximately 3 years. Large numbers of unskilled new immigrants will further lower the overall per capita income in the near future unless major intervention is undertaken.</p>	<p>Districts G, E, and C have the highest median household incomes in the city, all over \$60,000. Districts J and B have median household incomes under \$30,000.</p>
 <p>13. Waste Generation</p>	<p>The city of Houston collects waste for single family households but private haulers are contracted for multifamily apartments and businesses. Although these haulers report the content of waste they collect, they do not report the source of the waste and hence data on waste generation is estimated. This is a policy issue that complicates developing a robust sustainability strategy to target waste reduction in Houston.</p>	<p>Waste was not calculated for the districts analysis due to lack of sufficient data to understand the disposal practices of business and households.</p>

 <p>14. Energy Consumption</p>	<p>Average residential energy consumption per household has increased between 2000 and 2010 from 13,496 kwh to 14,221 kwh. This accounts for 11 million Mwh needed to power Houston homes in 2010. The city administration of Houston uses only 10% of this number and HISD uses 4%. Recently the city administrative operations increased its share of renewable energy sources to 50% of its total need. That is the equivalent of an estimated 5% of the total energy needed to power homes in Houston. City led goals to reduce non-renewable energy consumption among Houston households and businesses need to be addressed.</p>	<p>Data on energy consumption at the district level is not available, since this data is considered private. Future research to develop a methodology for analysis of Houston residential and business activities would be necessary to estimate energy consumption.</p>
 <p>15. Access to Transit</p>	<p>As of 2010, 68.5% of people in Houston live within a quarter of a mile to a bus stop.</p>	<p>District J has just about the total population within a ¼ mile walk to a bus stop. While District E has only 10.4% of the population within a ¼ mile to stops. District E is very much below the city average of 68.5%.</p>
 <p>16. Vehicle Miles Travelled</p>	<p>Annual VMT is projected to increase in Houston. This study used <i>Travel Time</i> as a proxy for VMT and found that the average travel time for Houstonians was 25.5 minutes in 2010. Persons living in suburban areas and working in Houston would have much larger travel times and VMT, this contributes quite significantly to the degree of wear and tear on Houston roads and environmental pollution from auto use.</p>	<p>District B has the highest travel time and it is located to the far north of the city, this district includes the Houston International Airport. District C has the lowest travel time, is centrally located and includes the neighborhoods of Montrose, Meyerland and the Greenway Plaza and the Upper Kirby business district.</p>
 <p>17. Travel Choice</p>	<p>A higher percentage of people in Houston were travelling alone using private cars in 2010 than in 2000. In 2000 28% of persons used alternative travel sources. The number dropped to 25% in 2010.</p>	<p>On average 5% of Houstonians use public transit to get to work. This number varies among districts from 1.7% in District E, 2.2% in District G and on the high end 8.3% in District J, and 7.9% in District D. District E contains the far southeast and northeast communities of Clearlake, Edgebrook, and Kingwood. Accessibility to bus stops is also very poor with 10.4% of the population within walking distance to a bus stop. District G contains the affluent neighborhoods of Riveroaks, Memorial, and Briarforest. Districts J and D, which have the highest percentage of transit users are both less affluent and more centrally located.</p>

 <p>18. Ambient concentrations of air pollutants</p>	<p>Houston has attained federal standards for all criteria pollutants except for Ozone. The Houston region is in non-attainment for the federal standard for Ozone.</p>	<p>District I had the highest AQI reading and is located in close proximity to the petrochemical industries to the east of the city. Neighborhoods in District I include Magnolia Park, Hunterwood and Harrisburg.</p>
 <p>19. Greenhouse Gas Emissions</p>	<p>Private vehicles CO₂ emissions are increasing and now constitute the largest source for CO₂ emissions in Harris county.</p> <p>GHG emissions data is not available for the City of Houston or areas within the City. The City of Houston has conducted a GHG inventory for its own operations, but has not conducted an inventory of the city as a whole. In the Fall of 2013, researchers from the Shell Center for Sustainability and the School of Engineering at Rice will develop a methodology to conduct the analysis to fill this void.</p>	<p>GHG emissions data is not available for the City of Houston or areas within the City.</p>
 <p>20. Water Pollution</p>	<p>Houston water quality monitoring of waterways expanded considerably between 2004 and 2011. Additionally, the City of Houston publishes annual updates of drinking water quality to all residents.</p>	<p>Water pollution in the waterways was not measured at the district level since no clear methodology or data was available to conduct this level of assessment. Our waterways need to be equipped with continuous monitoring systems so reliable trends could be detected and analyzed.</p>
 <p>21. Water Use</p>	<p>Per capita municipal water use in Houston increased from 159 gallons per day in 2000 to 165 gallons per day in 2010. Unless this trend is reversed, water consumption will increase disproportionately with population growth, a trend that is not sustainable.</p>	<p>Districts C and G consume the most water among households and District H consumes the least amount of water. The data used in this indicator is based on an estimate since the City of Houston has created a protocol for some consumers to elect to have their usage bills considered private. As a result, data for total water use by district or neighborhood in the city is not considered public data. Without understanding how various businesses consume water, the research community will not be able to assist the city with intelligence for water reduction strategies. The current water reduction for the City of Houston is not considered robust since it is not sensitive to various types of business operations or sizes or types of households.</p>

 <p>22. Water Availability</p>	<p>The City of Houston owns access rights to a little less than half of the available water in the region. That was 1,264,231 acre-feet in 2010. The Houston municipal water demand for 2010 was 389,082 acre-feet.</p>	<p>Water availability was not calculated by districts since it is not applicable to his level of study.</p>
 <p>23. Flooding</p>	<p>One quarter of the City of Houston is at risk of flooding.</p>	<p>Districts F and J have the highest amount of people in the Flood Zone. This district includes the neighborhoods of Westchase, Westwood, Sharpstown and Gulfton. These two districts also contain large job centers and hence liability for flood damage is very high. Districts G and I have the lowest amount of people in the Flood Zone. Memorial, Briarforest and River Oaks are neighborhoods in these districts.</p>
 <p>24. Land Cover Change</p>	<p>The highest increase in land cover between 2001 and 2006 was for medium intensity development. This was an increase from 150 square miles to 160 square miles. Medium intensity development accounts for the highest land coverage type in Houston and most commonly include single family housing units.</p>	<p>Districts C, G, and H have the highest percentage of land dedicated to Low-medium intensity development with over 60% respectively. District E has the lowest percentage with 28%.</p>
 <p>25. Jobs / Housing Balance</p>	<p>A higher percentage of jobs are located within business centers, which is good for agglomeration. However only 21% of housing units are located within a quarter mile of business centers. This means that 78% of persons are commuting alone in private autos.</p>	<p>Districts J, G, and C have the highest percentage of housing units close to job centers. Job centers in these areas include Upper Kirby/ Greenway, Sharpstown and the Westchase district. Districts K, H, and E have the lowest percentage of housing units close to jobs. Districts K and H have no major job centers but District E has the Port of Houston, which is a major employer along with its affiliated businesses.</p>



Sustainable Development of Houston Districts: The Health of the City

Glossary

Accessibility: The degree to which a product, device, service, or environment is available to as many people as possible.

Acre-foot: a unit of volume commonly used in the United States in reference to large-scale water resources. Equal to 325,851 gallons.

Affordable Care Act: A United States federal statute signed into law by President Barack Obama on March 23, 2010.

Agglomeration: An extended city or town area comprising the built-up area of a central place and any suburbs linked by continuous urban area.

Ambient concentration: Amount of the particulate or gas pollutant per volume unit of air.

Attainment gap: The observed and persistent disparity on a number of educational measures between the performance of groups of students, especially groups defined by gender, race/ethnicity, and socioeconomic status.

CMSA: Consolidated Metropolitan Statistical area. Houston Region CMSA is an 8 county region. Brazoria, Chambers, Fort Bend, Galveston, Harris, Liberty, Montgomery, Waller.

CO2 emissions: The release of carbon dioxide gas into the atmosphere.

Contiguous estuaries: Mixed fresh and salt water bodies that are connected or adjacent to each other.

Employment status: Refers to the three recognized work schedules of full-time, part-time and temporary.

Flood plain: A floodplain or flood plain is a flat or nearly flat land adjacent a stream or river that stretches from the banks of its channel to the base of the enclosing valley walls and experiences flooding during periods of high discharge.

Food Desert: Any area more than 1 mile from a grocery store that sells fresh fruits and vegetables.

Fragile lands: Land that is sensitive to degradation when disturbed; such as with highly erodible soils, soils where salts can and do accumulate, and soils at high elevations.

GHG: A greenhouse gas (sometimes abbreviated GHG) is a gas in an atmosphere that absorbs and emits radiation within the thermal infrared range.

Globalization: Globalization is the process of international integration arising from the interchange of world views, products, ideas, and other aspects of culture.

GPCD: Unit for the water usage of an area, in gallons per capita per day.

Green jobs: Work in agricultural, manufacturing, research and development (R&D), administrative, and service activities that contribute(s) substantially to preserving or restoring environmental quality.

HGAC Region: 13 county region administered by Houston Galveston Area Council. The HGAC region is composed of 13 counties: Austin, Brazoria, Chambers, Colorado, Fort Bend, Galveston, Harris, Liberty, Matagorda, Montgomery, Walker, Waller, Wharton.

Housing affordability: Relates to the ability of individual households to meet their monthly rent or mortgage payments within a reasonable threshold of their income.

kwh: Kilowatt-hour; a unit of energy commonly used for electricity purposes.

Land cover: Land cover is the physical material at the surface of the earth. Includes grass, asphalt, trees, bare ground, water, etc.

Medium intensity development: Includes areas with a mixture of constructed materials and vegetation.

MSA: Metropolitan Statistical Area. The Houston MSA is composed of 10 counties: Austin, Brazoria, Chambers, Fort Bend, Galveston, Harris, Liberty, Montgomery, San Jacinto, Waller.

Municipal Solid Waste (MSW): A waste type consisting of everyday items that are discarded by the public.

Mwh: Megawatt-hour; one thousand kilowatt-hours; a unit of energy commonly used for electricity purposes.

National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS): Standards established by the United States Environmental Protection Agency under authority of the Clean Air Act that apply for outdoor air throughout the country.

Natural resources: Resources occurring naturally within environments that exist relatively undisturbed by mankind.

Personal Income: Refers to an individual's total earnings involving wages, investment enterprises, and other ventures.

PM 2.5, 10: Particulate matter of 2.5 or 10 micrometers; tiny pieces of solid or liquid matter associated with the Earth's atmosphere.

PMSA: Primary Metropolitan Statistical Area. The Houston PMSA is composed of 6 counties: Chambers, Fort Bend, Harris, Liberty, Montgomery, Waller.

Poverty line: the minimum level of income deemed adequate in a given country.

ppb: Parts per billion; a unit of concentration of chemical compounds in the atmosphere.

ppm: Parts per million; a unit of concentration of chemical compounds in the atmosphere.

Primary jobs: A primary job is a job which brings in new capital (money) to an area.

Street intersection density: The number of street intersection per unit area in a metropolitan area.

Subsidence from groundwater extraction: The sinking of land resulting from groundwater extraction.

Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT): A measure of the extent of motor vehicle operation within a specific geographic area over a given period of time.

Urbanized Area (UA): Densely settled territory which consists of core census block groups or blocks that have a population density of at least 1,000 people per square mile and surrounding census blocks that have an overall density of at least 500 people per square mile. Less densely settled territory may be part of each UA as well.

Water availability: Describes the amount of water available for irrigation or consumption per person, per year in a region.

Wetland: Land area that is saturated with water, either permanently or seasonally, such that it takes on the characteristics of a distinct ecosystem.

µg: Microgram; unit of weight often used for small concentrations of contaminants.

References

- U.S. Census Bureau. (2011, December). American FactFinder. Washington, DC. Retrieved from <http://www.census.gov>
- Alexander, C., Ishikawa, S., & Silverstein, M. (1977). *A Pattern Language: Towns, Buildings, Construction*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Blackburn, J. (2011). *Measuring City Sustainability: Project Houston*. Houston: SHell Center for Sustainability, Rice University.
- Bullard, R. D. (2000). *Dumping in Dixie: race, class, and environmental quality*. Boulder: Westview Press.
- Burchell, R., Downs, A., McCann, B., & Mukherji, S. (2005). *Sprawl Costs: Economic Impacts of Unchecked Development*. Washington: Island Press.
- Bureau of Labor Statistics. (2010). *Measuring Green Jobs*. Retrieved May 15, 2012, from Green Jobs: <http://www.bls.gov/green/>
- Bureau of Labor Statistics. (2012). Consumer Price Index Average Price Data for Unleaded Gasoline. Washington, DC.
- Center for Clinical and Translational Sciences. (2012). *Greater Houston Obesity Prevention and Treatment Resources*. Retrieved July 2012, from UT Health Science Center: <http://ccts.uth.tmc.edu/ccts-services/resource-lists>
- Center for Neighborhood Technology. (2010). *H+T Affordability Index*. Retrieved November 2012, from Center for Neighborhood Technology: htaindex.cnt.org
- CenterPoint Energy. (2012). *2011 Annual Report: Delivering Results Pursuing opportunities*. Houston: CenterPoint Energy.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2012). *A Look Inside Food Deserts*. Retrieved November 2012, from www.cdc.gov/features/fooddeserts
- Cervero, R., & Duncan, M. (2006). Which Reduces Vehicle Travel More: Jobs-Housing Balance or Retail-Housing Mixing? *Journal of the American Planning Association*, 475-490.
- Chang, J. (2012, October 31). Deputy Director for Public Utilities, City of Houston. (L. King, Interviewer)
- CitizensNet. (2013, May). Message from Mayor Annise Parker. Houston, TX.
- City of Houston. (1997). *City of Houston Water Conservation Plan*. Houston, TX: City of Houston.
- City of Houston. (2001). *Water Quality Report 2000*. Houston: Department of Public Works and Engineering.

City of Houston. (2011). *Comprehensive Annual Financial Report*. Houston: Office of the Controller.

City of Houston. (2011). *Drinking Water Quality Report 2010*. Houston: Department of Public Works and Engineering.

City of Houston. (2011). *Houston Department of Health and Human Services*. Retrieved July 2012, from <http://www.dshs.state.tx.us/obesity/Houston-Department-of-Health-and-Human-Services.doc>

City of Houston. (2011). *Our Growth*. Retrieved July 2012, from City of Houston General Plan: http://www.houstontx.gov/planning/_GeneralPlan/Growth.html

COH. (2011). *Patent No. 2011-1*. City of Houston.

Cutsinger, J., & Galster, G. (2006). There is no sprawl syndrome: A new typology of metropolitan land use patterns. *Urban Geography*, 228-252.

Environmental Protection Agency. (2010). *Green Power Partnership: National Top 50 Partner List*. Washington: EPA.

Environmental Protection Agency. (2011, May). Greenhouse Gas Equivalencies Calculator. Washington, DC.

Environmental Working Group. (2009). *National Drinking Water Database*. Washington: Environmental Group.

Ewing, R. (1999). *Best Development Practices: A Primer for Smart Growth*. Washington: Smart Growth Network.

Farr, D. (2008). *Sustainable Urbanism: Urban Design with Nature*. Hoboken: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

Glaeser, E. (2011). *Triumph of the City: How Our Greatest Invention Makes us Richer, Smarter, Greener, Healthier, and Happier*. New York: Penguin Press.

Glaeser, E. (2011). *Triumph of the City: How Our Greatest Invention Makes Us Ricker, Smarter, greener, Healthier, and Happier*. New York: Penguin Press.

Glaeser, E. L., & Kahn, M. E. (2010). The greenness of cities: Carbon dioxide emissions and urban development. *Journal of Urban Economics*, 404-418.

Gurney, K. R., Mendoza, Y. Z., Fischer, M., Miller, C., Geethakumar, S., & de la Rue du, S. (2009). The Vulcan Project: High resolution fossil fuel combustion CO2 emissions fluxes for the United States. *Environ. Sci. Technol.*

Harris County Clerk. (2011). Houston General Election Voting Participants 1996, 2000, 2010. Houston, TX.

- Harris County Flood Control District. (2004). *Flood Insurance Rate Maps*. Retrieved November 2012, from www.hcfcd.org/firms.html
- Hermitte, S. M., & Mace, R. (2012). *The Grass Is Always Greener: Outdoor Residential Water Use in Texas*. Austin, TX: Texas Water Development Board.
- Hight, C., Anderson, J., Robinson, M., & Wallace, D. (2011). *Atlas of Sustainable Strategies for Galveston Island*. Houston: Shell Center for Sustainability - Rice University.
- Hill, E. W., & Brennan, J. (2012). America's Central Cities and the Location of Work. *Journal of the American Planning Association*, 411-432.
- Houston Galveston Area Council. (2003). *Regional Solid Waste Management Plan: Implementation Guidelines 2002-2020*. Houston: HGAC.
- ICF International. (2011). *Guide to Sustainable Transportation Performance Measures*. Washington: US Environmental Protection Agency.
- Julian, D. A., Reischl, T. M., Carrick, R. V., & Katrenich, C. (1997). Citizen Participation: Lessons from a Local United Way Planning Process. *Journal of the American Planning Association*, 345-355.
- King, L. (2012). *Houston Sustainability Indicators: A Comprehensive Development Review for Citizens, Analysts and Decision Makers*. Houston: Shell Center for Sustainability, Rice University.
- Klineberg, S. (2005). *The Houston Area Survey 1982 - 2005: Public Perceptions in Remarkable Times*. Houston: Center of Race, Religion, and Urban Life.
- Klineberg, S. (2010). *The Houston Area Survey - 2010: Perspectives of a City in Transition*. Houston: Rice University Institute for Urban Research.
- Kotkin, J. (2007). *Opportunity Urbanism: An Emerging Paradigm for the 21st Century*. Houston: Greater Houston Partnership.
- Leigh, N. G., & Hoelzel, N. Z. (2012). Smart Growth's Blind Side: Sustainable Cities Need Productive Urban Industrial Land. *Journal of the American Planning Association*, 87-103.
- Linneman, P., & Saiz, A. (2005). *Forecasting 2020 U.S. County and MSA Populations*. Philadelphia, PA: Wharton School, University of Pennsylvania.
- Manon, M., Giang, T., & Treering, D. (2010). *Food for every child: The need for more supermarkets in Houston*. Philadelphia, PA: The Food Trust.
- Maret, I., King, L., Sexton, B., & Arscott, R. (2004). *Air Quality Planning in the Houston-Galveston Region*. Houston: Blueprint Houston.

- McClure, K. (2008). Deconcentrating Poverty With Housing Programs. *Journal of the American Planning Association*, 90-99.
- McDonough, W., & Braungart, M. (2002). *Cradle to Cradle*. New York: North Point Press.
- Mitchell, R., & Popham, F. (2008). Effect of Exposure to Natural Environment on Health Inequalities: An Observational Population Study. *The Lancet*, 1655-1660.
- Office of the Legislative Counsel. (2010). *Compilation of Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act*. Retrieved May 15, 2012, from Healthcare.gov: <http://www.healthcare.gov/law/full/index.html>
- Power, G. (1989). The Advent of Zoning. *Planning Perspectives*, 4(1).
- Radley, W. (2012, July 23). *Unstoppable! National Mayors Conference projects Houston will grow faster than any other city*. Retrieved July 24, 2012, from CultureMap Houston: <http://tinyurl.com/c6l3ssf>
- Randolph, J. (2004). *Environmental Land Use Planning and Management*. Washington: Island Press.
- Region H Water Planning Group. (2006). *2006 Regional Water Plan*. Austin: TWDB.
- Region H Water Planning Group. (2010). *2011 Region Water Plan*. Austin: Texas Water Development Board.
- Region H Water Planning Group. (2010). *2011 Regional Water Plan*. Austin: TWDB.
- Roseland, M. (1998). *Toward Sustainable Communities: Resources for citizens and their governments*. Gabriola Island BC, Canada: New Society Publishers.
- Sanborn, B. (2012). The Region's High Schools. *2012 Community Indicators Symposium*. Houston: Center for Houston's Future, Greater Houston Partnership.
- Sanchez, T. W. (1999). The Connection Between Public Transit and Employment. *Journal of the American Planning Association*, 284-296.
- Sarnoff, N. (2013, May 2). Ashby high-rise developer gets sued. *Chron.com*.
- Schindler, K. (2012). *Advantages of Form-Based Zoning Account for its Growing Popularity*. Retrieved May 2013, from Form-Based Codes Institute: www.formbasedcodes.org
- Schwab, J., & Topping, K. (2008). Hazard mitigation: An essential role for planners. In P. A. Service, & J. Schwab (Ed.), *Hazard mitigation: Integrating best practices into planning* (Vol. 560, pp. 1-11). Washington, D.C.: American Planning Association.
- Stegman, M. (1969). Accessibility Models and Residential Location. *Journal of the American Institute of Planners*, 22-29.

Stone, M. E. (2006). What is Housing Affordability? The Case for the Residual Income Approach. *Housing Policy Debate*, 151-184.

Sumners, B. (2010). Was it Conservation or Just the Weather: Tips for Weather Normalizing Electric Energy and Demands. *AEIC Annual Load Research Conference*. Sandestin.

Texas A&M University. (2012). *MLS Housing Activity*. Retrieved July 31, 2012, from Real Estate Center at Texas A&M University: <http://recenter.tamu.edu/data/hs/hs280.asp>

Texas Agricultural Experiment Station. (2002). *Efficient Water Use for Texas: Policies, Tools, and Management Strategies*. College Station, Texas: Texas A&M University.

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality. (2011). *Municipal Solid Waste in Texas: A Year in Review, FY 2010 Data Summary and Analysis*. Austin: Waste Permits Division.

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality. (2012). *1990 Data Summary Report for Municipal Solid Waste Activity by County*. Austin: SOLid Waste Management Division.

Texas Education Agency. (1992). *District AEIS Report: Houston ISD*. Austin: Texas Education Agency.

Texas Education Agency. (1993). *District AEIS Report Houston ISD*. Austin: Texas Education Agency.

Texas Education Agency. (1993b). *Glossary for the Academic Excellence Indicator System 1992-93 Report*. Austin: Texas Education Agency.

Texas Education Agency. (1999). *1999 District AEIS Report Houston ISD*. Austin: Texas Education Agency.

Texas Education Agency. (2000). *2000 District AEIS Report Houston ISD*. Austin.

Texas Education Agency. (2002). *2002 District AEIS Report Houston ISD*. Austin: Texas Education Agency.

Texas Education Agency. (2003). *2003 District AEIS Report Houston ISD*. Austin: Texas Education Agency.

Texas Education Agency. (2005). *2005 District AEIS Report Houston ISD*. Austin: Texas Education Agency.

Texas Education Agency. (2007). *2007 District AEIS Report Houston ISD*. Austin: Texas Education Agency.

Texas Education Agency. (2009). *2009 District AEIS Report Houston ISD*. Austin: Texas Education Agency.

Texas Education Agency. (2011). *2011 District AEIS Report Houston ISD*. Austin: Texas Education Agency.

Texas Education Agency. (2011b). *Glossary for the Academic Excellence Indictaor System, 2010-11*. Austin: Texas Education Agency.

Texas Natural Resources Conservation Commission. (2000). *Annual Reporting Program for Permitted MSW Facilities: 2000 Data Summary and Analysis*. Austin: Strategic Assessment Division.

Texas Transportation Institute. (2011). *2011 Urban Mobility Report*. College Station: TTI.

Texas Water Development Board. (1997). *1997 State Water Plan Data*. Austin: TWDB.

Texas Water Development Board. (2012). *Historical Water Use Summary by City*. Retrieved February 2012, from <http://www.twdb.state.tx.us/wushistorical/DesktopDefault.aspx?PageID=1>

The Economist. (2012, July 14). Changing the plans: America's oil capital is throwing up a few environmental surprises. *The Economist*.

The Economist. (2012, July 14). Gas Works: Shale gas is giving a big boost to America's economy. *The Economist*.

The Economist. (2013, May 4). The Economic Performance of Cities. *The Economist*.

The SCORE Program. (2011). *Houston ISD Energy Benchmarking Report*. Houston: CenterPoint Energy.

The Trust for Public Land. (2011). *Acres of Parkland as Percentage of City Area*. Retrieved November 15, 2012, from The Trust for Public Land: <http://cityparksurvey.tpl.org>

Tour, J. M., Kittrell, C., & Colvin, V. L. (2010). Green Carbon as a bridge to renewable energy. *Nature Materials*, 871-874.

Turner, A. (2012, February 5). Fresh Produce Vans Will Roll Into Houston's Food Deserts. *Houston Chronicle*, p. 1.

U. S. Census Bureau. (2011). *TIGER Products*. Retrieved November 2011, from <http://www.census.gov/geo/www/tiger/>

U. S. Department of Health and Human Services. (2011). *The Affordable Care Act and Health Centers*. Retrieved May 2013, from Primary Care: The Health Center Program: <http://bphc.hrsa.gov/about/index.html>

U. S. Energy Information Administration. (2012). *Electric Power Annual Report 2011*. Washington: USEIA.

U.S. Census Bureau. (1990-2009). Population and Housing Unit Estimates. Washington, DC. Retrieved January 15, 2012, from <http://www.census.gov/popest/index.html>

U.S. Census Bureau. (2011). *Intercensal Estimates*. Retrieved March 2012, from Population Estimates: <http://www.census.gov/popest/data/intercensal/index.html>

U.S. Census Bureau. (2011). *Model-based Small Area Health Insurance Estimates for Counties and States*. Retrieved February 2012, from Small Area Health Insurance Estimates: <http://www.census.gov/did/www/sahie/>

- U.S. Census Bureau. (n.d.). *Methodology for the Intercensal Population Estimates: 2000 to 2010*. Washington, DC.
- U.S. Department of Commerce. (2011). *Regional Economic Accounts*. (B. o. Analysis, Producer) Retrieved May 2012, from <http://www.bea.gov/regional/index.htm>
- U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis. (2010, February 15). GDP & Personal Income. Washington, DC, United States.
- U.S. Department of Labor. (2012). *Green Goods and Services*. (B. o. Statistics, Producer) Retrieved May 2012, from <http://data.bls.gov/cgi-bin/dsrv?gg>
- U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics. (1990-2010, May). *Databases, Tables & Calculators by Subject*. Retrieved May 2010, from Consumer Price Index - Average Price Data: <http://data.bls.gov/cgi-bin/surveymost>
- U.S. Department of Transportation. (2011). Census Transportation Planning Products. Washington, DC.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. (2010). *Air Quality Trends by Pollutant*. Retrieved November 2011, from U.S. : <http://www.epa.gov/airtrends/>
- U.S. Geological Survey. (2011). *National Land Cover Database Resources*. Retrieved March 2012, from Multi-Resolution Land Characteristics Consortium: <http://www.mrlc.gov/resources.php>
- U.S. Green Building Council. (2009). *LEED Reference Guide for Green Neighborhood Development*. Washington: U.S. Green Building Council.
- United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs. (2007). *CSD Indicators of Sustainable Development, 3rd Edition*. Washington: Division for Sustainable Development.
- US Department of Agriculture. (2012). *Food Desert Locator*. Retrieved November 2012, from www.ers.usda.gov/data-products/food-desert-locator.aspx
- US Environmental Protection Agency. (2010). *Municipal Solid Waste in the United States: Facts and Figures*. Retrieved May 15, 2012, from EPA.gov: <http://www.epa.gov/osw/nonhaz/municipal/msw99.htm>
- Walkscore. (2012). *Walkscore Professional*. Retrieved November 15, 2012, from Walkscore: <http://www.walkscore.com>
- White, I. (2008). The absorbent city: urban form and flood risk management. *Urban Design and Planning*. 161, pp. 151 - 161. London, UK: Institution of Civil Engineers.



**Sustainable Development
of Houston Districts:**

**A Sustainability
Indicators Study**

A publication of the Shell Center for Sustainability
Rice University - School of Social Sciences MS-27 - 6100 Main Street, Houston, TX 77005
shellcenter.rice.edu